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I. Let $\tau$ be a local convex topology on a complex algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with a unit and algebraic norm. Further, suppose the multiplication is continuous with respect on each coordinate and that identical map $\{\mathcal{A},\|\cdot\|\} \rightarrow\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\}$ is also continuous. Let $\{P\}$ be the system defining algebraic seminorms, which generate topology $\tau$ [1].

Recall, that element $a \in\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\}$ belongs to the class $\operatorname{Gr}(\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\})$, if there exists an element $b \in\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\}$ such that for every seminorm $\{P\}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \{P(\exp (-\lambda b) \cdot \exp (\bar{\lambda} a)), P(\exp (-\bar{\lambda} a) \cdot \exp (\lambda b))\}=o\left(|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ (see [2]).
Proposition 1.1. If element $a \in \operatorname{Gr}(\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\})$, then element $b \in\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\}$ from the definition of the class $\operatorname{Gr}(\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\})$ is unique.

Proof. Suppose there exists another element $\tilde{b} \in\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\}$ such that

$$
\max \{P(\exp (-\lambda \tilde{b}) \cdot \exp (\bar{\lambda} a)), P(\exp (-\bar{\lambda} a) \cdot \exp (\lambda \tilde{b}))\}=o\left(|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

when $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for every $P$.
Consider $\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\}$ is valued entire function
$f(\lambda)=\exp (-\lambda b) \exp (\lambda \tilde{b})$.
Then, for each seminorm $P$ :
$P(f(\lambda))=P(\exp (-\lambda b) \cdot \exp (\lambda \tilde{b}))=P(\exp (-\lambda b) \exp (\bar{\lambda} a) \cdot \exp (-\bar{\lambda} a) \exp (\lambda \tilde{b})) \leqslant$

[^0]$$
\leqslant P(\exp (-\lambda b) \exp (\bar{\lambda} a)) \cdot P(\exp (-\bar{\lambda} a) \exp (\lambda \tilde{b}))=o(|\lambda|)
$$
when $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
Applying (1.1) and Liouville's Theorem, we get $f(\lambda) \equiv f(0)=1$, from which it follows $\exp (\lambda b)=\exp (\lambda \tilde{b})$ and, therefore, $b=\tilde{b}$.

The Proposition 1.1 is proved.
Proposition 1.2. If $a_{1}, a_{2} \in \operatorname{Gr}(\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\})$ and $\left[a_{1}, b_{2}\right]=0$ or $\left[a_{2}, b_{1}\right]=0$, then $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]=0,\left[b_{1}, b_{2}\right]=0$.

Proof. Indeed, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\lambda)=\exp \left(-\lambda b_{1}\right) b_{2} \exp \left(\lambda b_{1}\right)=\exp \left(-\lambda b_{1}\right) \exp \left(\bar{\lambda} a_{1}\right) b_{2} \exp \left(\bar{\lambda} b_{1}\right)- \\
& \quad-\exp \left(-\lambda b_{1}\right)\left(\exp \left(\bar{\lambda} a_{1}\right) b_{2}-b_{2} \exp \left(\bar{\lambda} a_{1}\right)\right) \exp \left(-\bar{\lambda} a_{1}\right) \exp \left(\bar{\lambda} b_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\lambda)= & \exp \left(-\lambda b_{2}\right) b_{1} \exp \left(\lambda b_{2}\right)=\exp \left(-\lambda b_{2}\right) \exp \left(\bar{\lambda} a_{2}\right) b_{1} \exp \left(-\bar{\lambda} a_{2}\right) \exp \left(\lambda b_{2}\right)- \\
& -\exp \left(-\lambda b_{2}\right)\left(\exp \left(\bar{\lambda} a_{2}\right) b_{1}-b_{1} \exp \left(\bar{\lambda} a_{2}\right)\right) \exp \left(-\bar{\lambda} a_{2}\right) \exp \left(\bar{\lambda} b_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

then from (1.1) and the condition $\left[a_{1}, b_{2}\right]=0$ or $\left[a_{2}, b_{1}\right]=0$, we get that $\left[b_{1}, b_{2}\right]=0$, changing $\lambda \rightarrow \mu=\bar{\lambda}$ gives $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]=0$.

The Proposition 1.2 is proved.
As was proved in [2], the following generalization of von Neumann's Theorem holds for the elements from the class $\operatorname{Gr}(\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\})$ (see [1, 3]).

Theorem 1. 1. [2]. Let $a \in \operatorname{Gr}(\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\})$. Then, for every neighbourhood of zero $U \subset\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\}$, there exists a neighbourhood of zero $V \subset\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\}$ such, that if $x \in \mathcal{A},\|x\| \leqslant 1$ and $[a, x] \in V$, then $[b, x] \in U$.

It is clear, that all normal and quasinormal elements belong to the class $\operatorname{Gr}(\{\mathcal{A}, \tau\})$. For simplicity, in case of complex Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$, let give an example of $a \in \operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{A})$ for which $[a, b] \neq 0$.

Fix $\sigma>0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $B_{\sigma}(\alpha)$ the Banach algebra of all entire functions $f$ of the exponential type $\leqslant \sigma$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|:=\sup _{\mathbb{R}}=\frac{|f(\lambda)|}{(1+|\lambda|)^{\alpha}}<\infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha=0$, then $B_{\sigma}(\alpha)$ will be the classical Bernshtein space. If $\alpha \leqslant \beta$, then $B_{\sigma}(\alpha) \subset B_{\sigma}(\beta)$ [3]. Using Fragmente-Lindelof Theorem, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(\lambda)| \leqslant C_{f}(1+|\lambda|)^{\alpha} e^{\sigma|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Cauchy's Theorem and inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) it follows that the operator $\delta=\frac{1}{i} \cdot \frac{d}{d \lambda}$ is acting continuously in space $B_{\sigma}(\alpha)$ and

$$
\|\exp (i t \delta)\| \sim(1+|t|)^{|\alpha|}, \quad t \rightarrow \pm \infty
$$

Consider the algebra $\mathcal{B}=M_{2}\left(B L\left(B_{\sigma}(\alpha)\right)\right)$. With operator $\delta \in B L\left(B_{\sigma}(\alpha)\right)$ and elements $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$, defined as follows:

$$
a=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\delta(1+i), & \delta(1+i) \\
0, & \delta(1+i)
\end{array}\right), \quad b=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\delta(1-i), & 0 \\
\delta(1-i), & \delta(1-i)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then, it is easy to see that

$$
[a, b]=2 \delta^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{1}, & 0 \\
0, & -\mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

Consider $\exp (-\lambda b) \cdot \exp (\bar{\lambda} a)$. We have

$$
\exp (-\lambda b) \cdot \exp (\bar{\lambda} a)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
e^{\delta(\bar{\lambda}(1+i)-\lambda(1-i))}, & e^{\delta(\bar{\lambda}(1+i)-\lambda(1-i))} \\
e^{\delta(\bar{\lambda}(1+i)-\lambda(1-i))}, & e^{2 \delta(\bar{\lambda}(1+i)-\lambda(1-i))}
\end{array}\right],
$$

similarly,

$$
\exp (-\lambda a) \cdot \exp (\bar{\lambda} b)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
e^{2 \delta(\lambda(1-i)-\bar{\lambda}(1+i))}, & e^{\delta(\lambda(1-i)-\bar{\lambda}(1+i))} \\
e^{\delta(\lambda(1-i)-\bar{\lambda}(1+i))}, & e^{\delta(\lambda(1-i)-\bar{\lambda}(1+i))}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Let $\lambda=s+i t \in \mathbb{C}$, then $\lambda(1-i)-\bar{\lambda}(1+i)=2 i(t-s)$ and $\bar{\lambda}(1+i)-\lambda(1-i)=$ $=2 i(s-t)$. Therefore,

$$
\|\exp (-\lambda b) \cdot \exp (\bar{\lambda} a)\|=\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e^{2 i(s-t) \delta}, & e^{2 i(s-t) \delta} \\
e^{2 i(s-t) \delta}, & e^{4 i(s-t) \delta}
\end{array}\right]\right\| \sim(1+|\lambda|)^{4|\alpha|}
$$

similarly,

$$
\|\exp (-\bar{\lambda} a) \cdot \exp (\lambda b)\| \sim(1+|\lambda|)^{4|\alpha|}
$$

We will get the desired example, if we take $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\alpha|<\frac{1}{8}$. For operator algebras the following theorem holds:

Theorem 1.2. Let $X$ and $Y$ are complex Banach algebras, $A \in \operatorname{Gr}(B L(X))$, $B \in \operatorname{Gr}(B L(Y))$. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$, such that if $T \in B L(X, Y)$, $\|T\| \leqslant R$ and $\|A T-T B\|<\delta$, then $\left\|A^{\oplus} T-T B^{\oplus}\right\|<\varepsilon$.

Note, that operators $A^{\oplus} \in B L(X)$ and $B^{\oplus} \in B L(Y)$ are conjugates of operators $A \in B L(X)$ and $B \in B L(Y)$ in the sense of class $G r(\cdot)$ defined by (1.1).

The Theorem 1.2 is proved by the same scheme as the Theorem 1 from [2]. Consider the operator-valued entire function

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(\lambda) & \left.=\exp \left(-\lambda A^{\oplus}\right) T \exp \left(\lambda B^{\oplus}\right)=\exp \left(-\lambda A^{\oplus}\right) \exp (\bar{\lambda} A) T \exp (-\bar{\lambda} B) \exp \left(\lambda B^{\oplus}\right)\right)- \\
& -\exp \left(-\lambda A^{\oplus}\right)(\exp (\bar{\lambda} A) T-T \exp (\bar{\lambda} B)) \exp (-\bar{\lambda} B) \exp \left(\lambda B^{\oplus}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Cauchy's integral formula

$$
\left\|F^{\prime}(0)\right\| \leqslant \frac{o(r)}{r}+\eta e^{4 r} .
$$

From here and the condition $\|A T-T B\|<\delta$ it follows that

$$
\left\|A^{\oplus} T-T B^{\oplus}\right\|<\varepsilon .
$$

The Theorem is proved.
The Theorem 1.2 has analogues corresponding to standard operators topologies, which satisfy the conditions of topology $\tau$. Note, that by the definition of the class $\operatorname{SGr}(\mathcal{A})$ in [4], the element $b \in \mathcal{B}$ from the definition of $\operatorname{SGr}(\mathcal{A})$ is unique as it is in Proposition 1.1
II. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a complex Banach algebra with the unit 1, and let $\hat{a}=\left(a_{k}\right)_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a sequence of elements such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{\left\|a_{k}\right\|}=\rho(\hat{a})<\infty . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1) it follows that $\mathcal{A}$-valued function

$$
E(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k}}{k!} z^{k}
$$

is an entire $\mathcal{A}$-valued function of exponential type $\rho(\hat{a})$. The number $\rho(\hat{a})$ is called generalized spectral radius of the sequence $(\hat{a})$, which is the radius of the smallest circle centered at the origin and outside of which the following $\mathcal{A}$-valued power series converge

$$
e(\lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k}}{\lambda^{k+1}} .
$$

For $\mathcal{A}$-valued function $E(z)$ the convex hull of the set of singularities is called conjugate diagram of the function $E(z)$, which we denote by $\mathcal{D}(\hat{a})$. Actually this set is the smallest convex set, which contains all singularities of $\mathcal{A}$-valued function $e(\lambda)$ [4].

Recall (see [4-6]), that a functional $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$ is called to be a state, if $\|\varphi\|=\varphi(\mathbf{1})=1$. The set $\operatorname{St}(\mathcal{A})$ of all states is a $\sigma\left(\mathcal{A}^{*}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ compact convex subset of the conjugate space $\mathcal{A}^{*}$. In contrast to the set of complex homomorphisms, which can be empty in case of non-commutative algebras, the set of states is always non-empty. Recall, that for each element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the set $V(a)=\{\varphi(a): \varphi \in \operatorname{St}(\mathcal{A})\}$ is called (algebraic) numerical image of element $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Not hard to see that for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\operatorname{sp}(a) \subset V(a)$, where $\operatorname{sp}(a)$ is a spectrum of the element $a$. Using Han-Banach's Theorem, it can be proved that $\mathcal{A}$-valued function $E(z)$ and $e(\lambda)$ are related with integral representation:

$$
E(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} e(\lambda) e^{\lambda z} d \lambda
$$

where $\gamma$ is a closed contour containing $\mathcal{D}(\hat{a})$. If $K(\theta)$ is the support function of the set $\mathcal{D}(\hat{a})$ [7], then

$$
\left\|E\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\| \leqslant L(\varepsilon) e^{[K(-\theta)+\varepsilon] r}
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$, where $L(\varepsilon)=\frac{L}{2 \pi} \max _{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{a})}\|e(\lambda)\|$. Here $L$ is the length of the contour $\gamma$, and $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{a})$ ) is the $\varepsilon$-extension of $\mathcal{D}(\hat{a})$. For the ray $\ell\left(\theta_{0}\right)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \arg (z)=\theta_{0}\right\}$ the integral given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{\infty e^{i \theta_{0}}} E(z) e^{-\lambda z} d z \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an analytic $\mathcal{A}$-valued function in the half plane $\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda e^{-i \theta_{0}}\right)>\rho(\hat{a})+\delta$, where $\delta>0$. Consider the indicator of growth $h(\theta)$ for the $\mathcal{A}$-valued function $E(z)$ (i.e.
$\left.h(\theta)=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \left\|E\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|}{r}, 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi\right)$. Thus, the function $e(\lambda)$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-valued analytic function in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)>h\left(\theta_{0}\right)$, for which the representation (2.2) holds, and, therefore, the $\mathcal{A}$-valued analogue of Polya's Theorem is hold too, $h(\theta)=K(-\theta)$.

Consider an important case when $a_{k}=a^{k}$, where $a \in \mathcal{A}$. In this case $E(z)=$ $=\exp (z a)$ and $e(\lambda)=(\lambda \mathbf{1}-a)^{-1}, \rho(\hat{a})=\rho(a)$, where $\rho(a)$ is the spectral radius of the element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}(\hat{a})=\langle s p(a)\rangle$. Here $\langle s p(a)\rangle$ is the convex hull of the spectrum of the element $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

It is well known (see [8]), that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max \{\operatorname{Re} \lambda: \lambda \in s p(a)\}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \|\exp (r a)\|}{r},  \tag{2.3}\\
\max \{\operatorname{Re} e \lambda: \lambda \in V(a)\}=\lim _{t \rightarrow+0} \frac{\ln \|\exp (t a)\|}{t}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi$. Since $s p\left(a e^{-I \theta}\right)=e^{-i \theta} s p(a)$, assuming that $\mu=\lambda e^{-i \theta}$, where $\lambda \in \operatorname{sp}(a)$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\max \left\{\operatorname{Re\mu :\mu \in sp(ae^{-i\theta })\} =\operatorname {max}\{ \operatorname {Re\mu }:\mu \in e^{-i\theta }\operatorname {sp}(a)\} =}\right. \\
=\max \left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda e^{-i \theta}\right): \lambda \in \operatorname{sp}(a)\right\}=K_{\langle s p(a)\rangle}(\theta) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly, since $V\left(e^{-i \theta} a\right)=e^{-i \theta} V(a)$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\max \left\{\operatorname{Re} \mu: \mu \in V\left(e^{-i \theta} a\right)\right\}=\max \left\{\operatorname{Re} e \mu: \mu \in e^{-i \theta} V(a)\right\}= \\
=\max \left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda e^{-i \theta}\right): \lambda \in V(a)\right\}=K_{V(a)}(\theta) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the first formula from (2.3), we get

$$
K_{\langle s p(a)\rangle}(\theta)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \left\|\exp \left(r e^{-i \theta} a\right)\right\|}{r}=\ln \rho\left(\exp \left(e^{-i \theta} a\right)\right) .
$$

Since $\langle s p(a)\rangle \subset V(a)$, then for every $\theta \in[0,2 \pi], K_{\langle s p(a)\rangle}(\theta) \leqslant K_{V(a)}(\theta)$.
Now consider conditions of "covnexity" of the element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e. when $\langle s p(a)\rangle=V(a)$.

Note, that all normal and subnormal elements are "convexoids".
Thus, if we want element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ be a "convexoid", we need to hold the condition $K_{\langle s p(a)\rangle}(\theta) \geqslant K_{V(a)}(\theta)$ for each $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$.

Proposition 2.1. If element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi}\left\{\ln \rho\left(\exp \left(e^{-i \theta} a\right)\right)-\lim _{t \rightarrow+0} \frac{\left\|\mathbf{1}+t e^{-i \theta} a\right\|-1}{t}\right\} \geqslant 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\langle s p(a)\rangle=V(a)$.
Proof. Since

$$
K_{\langle s p(a)\rangle}(\theta)-K_{V(a)}(\theta)=\ln \rho\left(\exp \left(e^{-i \theta} a\right)\right)-\lim _{t \rightarrow+0} \frac{\ln \left\|\exp \left(t e^{-i \theta} a\right)\right\|}{t}
$$

then taking into consideration that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+0} \frac{\ln \left\|\exp \left(t e^{-i \theta} a\right)\right\|}{t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow+0} \frac{\left\|\mathbf{1}+t e^{-i \theta} a\right\|-1}{t}
$$

and $K_{\langle s p(a)\rangle}(\theta) \geqslant K_{V(a)}(\theta)$ for every $\theta \in[0 ; 2 \pi]$, we get $\langle s p(a)\rangle=V(a)$. Note, that if $t=\frac{1}{n}$, the condition (2.4) can be written as

$$
\min _{0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi}\left\{\ln \rho\left(\exp \left(e^{-i \theta} a\right)\right)-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln \left\|\sqrt[n]{\exp \left(e^{-i \theta} a\right)}\right\|^{n}\right\} \geqslant 0
$$

The Proposition is proved.
Let $a \in \operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\theta \in[0 ; 2 \pi]$. Then by virtue of the definition class $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{A})$, on the ray $\ell(\theta)=\left\{\lambda=t e^{-i \theta} \in \mathbb{C}: \arg (\lambda)=\theta\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\langle s p(a)\rangle}(\theta) & =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \left\|\exp \left(t e^{-i \theta} a\right)\right\|}{t}= \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\ln \left\|\exp \left(t e^{i \theta} b\right) \cdot \exp \left(-t e^{i \theta} b\right) \cdot \exp \left(t e^{-i \theta} a\right)\right\|}{t} \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left[\frac{\ln \left\|\exp \left(t e^{i \theta} b\right)\right\|}{t}+\frac{\ln \left\|\exp \left(-t e^{i \theta} b\right) \exp \left(t e^{-i \theta} a\right)\right\|}{t}\right]= \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left[\frac{\ln \left\|\exp \left(t e^{i \theta} b\right)\right\|}{t}+\frac{\ln o\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{t}\right]= \\
& =\ln \rho\left(\exp \left(e^{i \theta} b\right)\right)=K_{\langle s p(b)\rangle}(-\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar reasoning shows that

$$
K_{\langle s p(b)\rangle}(-\theta)=\ln \rho\left(\exp \left(e^{i \theta} b\right)\right) \leqslant \ln \rho\left(\exp \left(e^{-i \theta} a\right)\right)=K_{\langle s p(a)\rangle}(\theta)
$$

Thus, for every $\theta \in[0 ; 2 \pi]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\langle s p(a)\rangle}(\theta)=K_{\langle s p(b)\rangle}(-\theta) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into consideration (2.5), we get

$$
K_{\langle s p(b)\rangle}(\theta)=K_{\langle s p(a)\rangle}(-\theta)=K_{\langle s p(a)\rangle}(\theta)
$$

Therefore, $\overline{\langle s p(a)\rangle}=\langle s p(b)\rangle$ and $\rho(a)=\rho(b)$. From (2.5) we get that for the indicators of growth $\langle s p(a)\rangle$ and $\langle s p(b)\rangle, h_{\langle s p(b)\rangle}(\theta)=K_{\langle s p(a)\rangle}(-\theta)$ by Polya's Theorem. Therefore, $h_{\langle s p(b)\rangle}(\theta)=h_{\langle s p(a)\rangle}(-\theta)$.
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