Physical and Mathematical Sciences

2012, № 1, p. 10–15

Mathematics

ON CONVERGENCE IN $L_1[0,1]$ NORM OF SOME IRREGULAR LINEAR MEANS OF WALSH–FOURIER SERIES

L. N. GALOYAN*

Russian-Armenian (Slavonic) University, Armenia

In this paper the convergence in $L_1[0,1]$ of some irregular linear means of Fourier–Walsh series of integrable functions after correcting these functions on sets of small measure is studied.

Keywords: triangular matrix, irregular linear means, Dirichlet kernels.

Introduction. First recall the definition of linear triangular methods of summation for arbitrary numerical series. Consider the following numerical series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k. \tag{1}$$

By S_k , k = 0,1,..., we denote the partial sums of this series. Let $T = ||a_{mk}||$ be any infinite triangular matrix, i.e. matrix satisfying $a_{mk} = 0$, k > m, m = 0,1,... The series (1) is said to be summable by the method defined by matrix T, or shorter, T-summable to the value S, if

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} T_m = S, \qquad T_m = \sum_{k=0}^m a_{mk} S_k. \tag{2}$$

 T_m is called the *T*-mean of the series (1). Summation method is called *regular*, if every convergent series is summable by this method to its sum. The following theorem is well known:

Theorem (Teoplitz). The conditions

- 1) $\lim_{m\to\infty} a_{mk} = 0$ for any fixed k;
- 2) $\lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{mk} = 1;$
- 3) $\exists H > 0$ s.t. $\sum_{k=0}^{m} |a_{mk}| < H$ for all m

are necessary and sufficient for the regularity of the *T*-method.

In [2] D.E. Menshov introduced the following class of irregular in general summation methods.

^{*} E-mail: lev.nik.galoyan@gmail.com

Definition. Let $\beta > 0$. Triangular method of summation T is called of R^{β} -type, if the elements of the matrix T satisfy the conditions 1), 2) of the previous Theorem and $\exists M>0$ such that $|a_{mm}| < Mm^{\beta}$, $|a_{mk}| < \frac{Mm^{\beta}}{(m-k)^{\beta+1}}$,

 $0 \le k < m$. For the trigonometric system Menshov proved the following:

Theorem (**D.E. Menshov**). Let T be a triangular method of summation of the type R^{β} . For any integrable function f(x) and for any perfect nowhere dense set $P \subset [-\pi, \pi]$ there exists an integrable function g(x) and a sequence of natural numbers m_i such that

1)
$$f(x) = g(x)$$
, $x \in P$; 2) $\lim_{j \to \infty} T_{m_j}(x, g) = g(x)$.

Now we will give the definition of the Walsh system (see [1]). The Walsh system $\{w_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ consists of the following functions:

$$w_0(x) = 1$$
, $w_n(x) = \prod_{s=1}^k r_{m_s}(x)$, $n = \sum_{s=1}^k 2^{m_s}$, $m_1 > m_2 > ... > m_s$,

where $\{r_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is the Rademacher system, defined by

$$r_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [0, 1/2), \\ -1, & x \in [1/2, 1), \end{cases} \quad r_0(x) = r_0(x+1), \quad r_k(x) = r_0(2^k x), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

We will call the *T*-method to be of *R*-type, if it satisfies conditions 1), 2) of the Teoplitz's Theorem. In this paper we prove the following:

Theorem. Let T be a triangular method of summation of the type R. Let $\{M_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be given increasing sequences of naturals. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a set E with measure $|E| > 1 - \varepsilon$ such that for any integrable function f(x) there exist an integrable function g(x) coinciding with f(x) on E and a sequence of natural numbers $\{q_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\lim_{m \in \Omega; \ m \to \infty} \int_{0}^{1} |\tilde{\sigma}_{m}(x,g) - g(x)| dx = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \Omega = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} [M_{q_{\nu}} - \omega_{\nu}, M_{q_{\nu}}].$$

Auxiliary Results. We use the constructions introduced by M.G. Grigorian in [3, 4] to prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let numbers $N_0 > 1$, $\gamma \neq 0, \nu_0$, dyadic interval $\Delta = \Delta_j^{(p)} = \left[\frac{j-1}{2^p}, \frac{j}{2^p}\right]$ and a triangular matrix $T = ||a_{mk}||$ are given. Then there

exist a set
$$E \subset \Delta$$
 and a polynomial in the Walsh system of the form

$$Q(x) = \sum_{k=N_0}^{N} c_k w_k(x)$$
 (3)

such that

1)
$$|E| = |\Delta| (1 - 2^{-\nu_0}),$$
 2) $Q(x) = \begin{cases} \gamma, & x \in E, \\ 0, & x \notin \Delta; \end{cases}$

3)
$$\max_{N_0 \le q \le N} \left\| \sum_{k=N_0}^{q} c_k w_k(x) \right\|_{L_1} \le 2 |\gamma| \sqrt{2^{\nu_0} |\Delta|}, \quad 4) \|Q(x)\|_{L_1} \le 2 |\gamma| |\Delta|;$$

5) the *T*-means $\tilde{\sigma}_m(x,Q)$ of the Fourier–Walsh series of polynomial Q(x) satisfy the following inequality:

$$\|\tilde{\sigma}_m(x,Q) - Q(x)\|_{L_1} \le \|Q(x)\|_{L_1} \left(2\sum_{k=N_0}^{N-1} |a_{mk}| \log_2(k+4) + |\sum_{k=0}^m a_{mk} - 1|\right), m > N.$$

Proof. Let $s = [\log_2 N_0] + p$. Consider the function

$$I_{\nu_0}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [0,1) \setminus \Delta_1^{(\nu_0)}, \\ 1 - 2^{\nu_0}, & x \in \Delta_1^{(\nu_0)}. \end{cases}$$
 (4)

Extend this function from [0,1) to the real axis as a periodic function with period 1. We define the function Q(x) in the following manner

$$Q(x) = \gamma I_{\nu_0}(2^s x) \chi_{\Delta}(x). \tag{5}$$

It is easy to verify that Q(x) is a polynomial in Walsh system, which spectrum lies to the right of 2^s , i.e. Q(x) has the form (3) with $N = \max\{n; c_n(Q) \neq 0\}$, where $c_n(Q)$, $n \geq 1$, are Fourier-Walsh coefficients of the polynomial Q(x). Let $E = \{x : Q(x) = y\}$. It is easy to see that $|E| = |\Delta| (1 - 2^{-\nu_0})$. The validity of 4) follows immediately from (4) and (5). Let us prove the assertion 3). We have

$$\max_{N_0 \leq q \leq N} \left\| \sum_{k=N_0}^q c_k w_k(x) \right\|_{L_1} \leq \max_{N_0 \leq q \leq N} \left\| \sum_{k=N_0}^q c_k w_k(x) \right\|_{L_2} \leq \left(\sum_{k=N_0}^N c_k^{\ 2} \right)^{1/2} = \left\| Q(x) \right\|_{L_2} \leq 2 \left\| \gamma \right\| \sqrt{2^{\nu_0} \left\| \Delta \right\|}.$$

According to the definition, *T*-means of Fourier series of Q(x) for any m > N have the following form

$$\tilde{\sigma}_m(x,Q) = \sum_{k=0}^m a_{mk} S_k(x,Q) = \sum_{k=N_0}^{N-1} a_{mk} S_k(x,Q) + Q(x) \sum_{k=N}^m a_{mk},$$
 (6)

where $S_k(x,Q)$, k = 0,1,..., are the partial sums of Fourier series of Q(x). Using (6) and the property of convolution operator (see [1], (2.1.6), (2.1.7)), we can write

$$\|\tilde{\sigma}_m(x,Q) - Q(x)\|_{L_1} \le \left\| \sum_{k=N_0}^{N-1} a_{mk} S_k(x,Q) \right\|_{L_1} +$$

$$+ \|Q(x)\|_{L_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_{mk} \le \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} Q(x \oplus t) K_{m}(N, N_{0}, t) dt dx + \|Q(x)\|_{L_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_{mk} +$$
 (7)

$$+ \|Q(x)\|_{L_{1}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{mk} - 1 \right| \leq \|Q(x)\|_{L_{1}} \left[\|K_{m}(N, N_{0}, t)\|_{L_{1}} + \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{mk} - 1 \right| + \left| \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_{mk} \right| \right],$$

where $K_m(N, N_0, t) = \sum_{k=N_0}^{N-1} a_{mk} D_k(t)$, and $D_s(t)$, s = 0, 1, ..., are the Dirichlet

kernels. Using the estimate for L_1 norms of Dirichlet kernels, we easily obtain

$$||K_m(N, N_0, t)||_{L_1} \le \sum_{k=N_0}^{N-1} |a_{mk}| \log_2(k+4).$$

From this inequality and (7) we finally obtain

$$\|\tilde{\sigma}_{m}(x,Q) - Q(x)\|_{L_{1}} \leq \|Q(x)\|_{L_{1}} \left(2\sum_{k=N_{0}}^{N-1} |a_{mk}| \log_{2}(k+4) + |\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{mk} - 1|\right).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. Let numbers $k_0 > 1$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, Walsh polynomial f(x) (such that $f(x) \neq 0$, $x \in (0,1)$) and triangular matrix $T = ||a_{mk}||$ are given. Then there exist a set $E \subset [0,1]$ and a polynomial Q(x) of the form $Q(x) = \sum_{k=k+1}^{\overline{k}} c_k w_k(x)$ such that

1)
$$|E| > 1 - \varepsilon$$
.

2)
$$Q(x) = f(x), x \in E$$

3)
$$\max_{k_0 < q < \overline{k}} \left\| \sum_{k=k_0}^{q} c_k w_k(x) \right\|_{L_1} \le 3 \left\| f(x) \right\|_{L_1}, \quad 4) \quad \left\| Q(x) \right\|_{L_1} \le 2 \left\| f(x) \right\|_{L_1};$$

5)
$$\|\tilde{\sigma}_{m}(x,Q) - Q(x)\|_{L_{1}} \le 2\|f(x)\|_{L_{1}} \left(2\sum_{k=0}^{\bar{k}}|a_{mk}|\log_{2}(k+4) + |\sum_{k=0}^{m}a_{mk} - 1|\right),$$
 $m > N.$

Proof. Let $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \gamma_j \chi_{\Delta_j}(x)$, where Δ_j is a dyadic interval and $\bigcup_{j=1}^{M} \Delta_j = [0,1)$. Take $v_0 = 1 + [\log_2 1/\varepsilon]$. Without loss of generality we can assume

$$\max_{1 \le j \le M} |\gamma_j| (2^{\nu_0} |\Delta_j|)^{1/2} < \min \left\{ \varepsilon / 2; \int_0^1 |f(x)| \, dx / 2 \right\}.$$
 (8)

Successively applying Lemma 1, we determine some sets E_i and polynomials $Q_i(x)$,

$$Q_{j}(x) = \sum_{k=N_{j-1}}^{N_{j}-1} c_{k}^{(j)} w_{k}(x), \quad j = 1, 2, ..., M, \quad N_{0} = k_{0} + 1,$$
(9)

which satisfy the following conditions:

$$|E_j| = |\Delta_j| (1 - 2^{-\nu_0}),$$
 (10)

$$Q_{j}(x) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{j}, & x \in E_{j}, \\ 0, & x \notin \Delta_{j}, \end{cases} \qquad j = 1, 2, ..., M,$$
 (11)

$$\max_{N_{j-1} \le q < N_j} \left\| \sum_{k=N_{j-1}}^{q} c_k w_k(x) \right\|_{L_1} \le 2 |\gamma_j| \sqrt{2^{\nu_0} |\Delta_j|}, \quad \left\| Q_j(x) \right\|_{L_1} \le 2 |\gamma_j| |\Delta_j|, \tag{12}$$

$$\left\| \tilde{\sigma}_{m}(x, Q_{j}) - Q_{j}(x) \right\|_{L_{1}} \leq \left\| Q_{j}(x) \right\|_{L_{1}} \left(2 \sum_{k=N_{j-1}}^{N_{j}-1} |a_{mk}| \log_{2}(k+4) + |\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{mk} - 1| \right),$$

$$m \geq N_{j}.$$
(13)

Let

$$Q(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} Q_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{k=N,j}^{N_j-1} c_k^{(j)} w_k(x) = \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\overline{k}} c_k w_k(x), \ \overline{k} = N_M - 1,$$
 (14)

and $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{M} E_j$. Then obviously we get 1) and 2) (see (10), (11)). Let $N_{i-1} \le q \le N_i - 1$, then from (8) and (12) we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=k_0}^{q} c_k w_k(x) \right\|_{L_1} \le \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} Q_j(x) \right\|_{L_1} + \left\| \sum_{k=N_{i-1}}^{q} c_k^{(i)} w_k(x) \right\|_{L_1} \le 3 \|f(x)\|_{L_1}.$$

This proves the validity of 3).

Further, for all $m > \overline{k}$ we have (see (12), (14))

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \tilde{\sigma}_{m}(x,Q) - Q(x) \right\|_{L_{1}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left\| \tilde{\sigma}_{m}(x,Q_{j}) - Q_{j}(x) \right\|_{L_{1}} \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left\| Q_{j}(x) \right\|_{L_{1}} \left(2 \sum_{k=N_{j-1}}^{N_{j}-1} |a_{mk}| \log_{2}(k+4) + |\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{mk} - 1| \right) \leq \\ & \leq 2 \left\| f(x) \right\|_{L_{1}} \left(2 \sum_{k=0}^{\overline{k}} |a_{mk}| \log_{2}(k+4) + |\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{mk} - 1| \right). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

The Proof of Theorem. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of polynomials in the Walsh system with rational coefficients enumerated in some order. Successively applying Lemma 2, we can choose an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{j_{\nu}\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$, the sequence of sets $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and polynomials

$$Q_n(x) = \sum_{s=M_{j_n}}^{\overline{M}_n} c_k w_k(x), M_{j_1} = M_1, \text{ satisfying}$$

$$Q_n(x) = f_n(x), \quad x \in E_n, \tag{15}$$

$$|E_n| > 1 - \varepsilon 2^{-n}, \tag{16}$$

$$\|Q_n(x)\|_{L_1} \le 2 \|f_n(x)\|_{L_1}, \quad \max_{M_{j_n} < q < \overline{M}_n} \left\| \sum_{k=M_{j_n}}^q c_k w_k(x) \right\|_{L_1} \le 3 \|f_n(x)\|_{L_1}, \quad (17)$$

$$\|\tilde{\sigma}_{m}(x,Q) - Q_{n}(x)\|_{L_{1}} \leq 2\|f_{n}(x)\|_{L_{1}} \left(2\sum_{k=0}^{\overline{M}_{n}} |a_{mk}| \log_{2}(k+4) + |\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{mk} - 1|\right), m > \overline{M}_{n},$$

$$M_{j_{k+1}} > 2\overline{M}_{k}, \quad M_{j_{k}} > 2\omega_{k+1}, \quad k = 1, 2, ...,$$

$$\max_{r \in [0,\overline{M}_{k}]} \{|a_{vr}| \log_{2}(r+4)\} \leq \frac{1}{k\overline{M}_{k}}, \quad v \geq \frac{M_{j_{k+1}}}{2}, \quad k = 1, 2, ...$$
(18)

Let $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. In the light of (16) we obtain $|E| > 1 - \varepsilon$. Let $f \in L_1(0,1)$. Choose a subsequence $\{f_{n_n}(x)\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \left\| \sum_{p=1}^{N} f_{n_p}(x) - f(x) \right\|_{L_1} = 0, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{p=1}^{N} f_{n_p}(x) = f(x), \text{ a.e. on } (0,1)$$

$$\left\| f_{n_p}(x) \right\|_{L_1} \le 2^{-p}, \quad p \ge 2.$$
(19)

Let $A = \{x \in [0,1]: \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} f_{n_p}(x) \neq f(x) \}$. Consider the following functions

$$\overline{g}(x) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} Q_{n_p}(x) , \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} \overline{g}(x), & x \notin A, \\ f(x), & x \in A. \end{cases}$$
 (20)

It follows that g(x) and $\overline{g}(x)$ are integrable. Let $M_{j_{n_n}} = M_{q_p}$, p = 1, 2, ... Finally

we consider the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k c_k w_k(x)$, where

$$\delta_k = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } k \in \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} (M_{q_p}, \overline{M}_{n_p}], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
 (21)

now we show that partial sums of the series (21) converge in L_1 norm to the function g(x), implying that the series (21) is the Fourier-Walsh series of g(x). Let $M_{q_p} \le N < M_{q_{p+1}}$. Using (17) and (19) we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{N} \delta_{k} c_{k} w_{k}(x) - g(x) \right\|_{L_{1}} \leq \max_{M_{q_{p}} \leq i \leq \overline{M}_{n_{p}}} \left\| \sum_{k=M_{q_{p}}}^{i} c_{k} w_{k}(x) \right\|_{L_{1}} + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \left\| Q_{n_{p}}(x) \right\|_{L_{1}},$$

whence, by (15)–(18), we have what we need.

Let $\Omega = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} [M_{q_p} - \omega_p, M_{q_p}]$. If $m \in [M_{q_N} - \omega_N, M_{q_N}]$, then, using (17)–(21) and

the fact that series (21) is the Fourier–Walsh series of g(x), we have

$$\begin{split} & \int_{0}^{1} |\tilde{\sigma}_{m}(x,g) - g(x)| dx \leq \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \left\| \tilde{\sigma}_{m}(x,Q_{n_{p}}) - Q_{n_{p}}(x) \right\|_{L_{1}} + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \left\| Q_{n_{p}}(x) \right\|_{L_{1}} \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} 2 \left\| f_{n_{p}}(x) \right\|_{L_{1}} \left(2 \sum_{k=0}^{\overline{M}_{n_{p}}} |a_{mk}| \log_{2}(k+4) + |\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{mk} - 1| \right) + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \left\| Q_{n_{p}}(x) \right\|_{L_{1}} \to 0. \end{split}$$

Theorem is proved.

Received 22.12.2011

REFERENCES

- Golubov B.I., Efimov A.F., Skvortzov V.A. Walsh Series and Transforms. M.: Nauka, 1987, 340 p. (in Russian).
- 2. **Menshov D.E.** // Trudi Mat. Inst., 1975, v. 134, p. 204–218 (in Russian).
- 3. **Grigoryan M.G.** // Real Analysis Exchange, 2009, v. 35, № 1, p. 157–166.
- 4. Grigoryan M.G. // Izv. Vissh. Uchebn. Zaved. Matematika, 1990, v. 11, p. 9–18 (in Russian).