

Armenian Folia Anglistika – the official peer-reviewed academic journal of the Armenian Association for the Study of English (since 2005) and Yerevan State University (since 2015) aims at fostering research of the English Language, Literature and Culture in Armenia and elsewhere and facilitate intellectual cooperation between high school teachers and scholars.

In 2007 the Editorial Board of *Armenian Folia Anglistika* announced the opening of a new section in the Journal – Armenological Studies, which invites valuable and innovative contributions from such fields as Armenian Linguistics, Literary Criticism, Ethnic Studies, Cultural History, Gender Studies and a wide range of adjacent disciplines.

Armenian Folia Anglistika is intended to be published twice a year. Articles of interest to university-level teachers and scholars in English Studies are warmly welcomed by the multi-national Editorial Board of the Journal. Articles should be directed to the Editor-in-Chief.

Հիմնադիր և գլխավոր խմբագիր՝
ՄԵՂԱ ԳԱՍՊԱՆՐՅԱՆ
Համարի թողարկման
պատասխանատու՝
ԼԻԼԻ ԿԱՐԱՊԵՏՅԱՆ
Լրատվական գործունեություն
իրականացնող
«ԱՆԳԼԵՐԵՆԻ
ՈՒՍՈՒՄՆԱՍԻՐՈՒԹՅԱՆ
ՀԱՅԿԱԿԱՆ ԱՍՈՑԻԱՑԻԱ» ՀԿ
<http://www.aase.y-su.am>
Վկայական՝ 03Ա 065183
Տրված՝ 28.06.2004 թ.

Yerevan State University
Press

Editor-in-Chief

Seda Gasparyan – Doctor of Sciences (Philology), Professor, Corresponding Member of RA NAS, Honoured Scientist of RA, Head of Yerevan State University English Philology Department, President of Armenian Association for the Study of English.

Phone: +374 99 25 50 60;

E-mail: sedagasparyan@yandex.ru; sedagasparyan@ysu.am

Editors

Shushanik Paronyan, Doctor of Sciences (Philology), Professor, Head of the Department of English for Cross-Cultural Communication, Yerevan State University (Armenia).

Gaiane Muradian, Doctor of Sciences (Philology), Associate Professor of English Philology Department, Yerevan State University (Armenia).

Astghik Chubaryan, PhD in Philology, Professor of English Philology Department, Yerevan State University (Armenia).

Editorial Advisory Board

1. Svetlana Ter-Minasova – Doctor of Sciences (Philology), Professor Emeritus at Lomonosov Moscow State University, President of the Faculty of Foreign Languages and Area Studies, Doctor Honoris Causa at the Universities of Birmingham, UK (2002), The State University of New York, USA (2007), the Russian-Armenian Slavonic University, Armenia, Visiting professor at the National Research Tomsk State University, Russia (2013), Yunshan Professor at Guangdong University of Foreign Languages and International Relations, China (2016), holder of Lomonosov Award (1995), Fulbright's 50th Anniversary Award (1995), Boris Polevoi Prize (2015), Member of the Council of Experts of the International Academic Forum, Japan (2013).

2. Angela Locatelli – Professor of English Literature, Bergamo University, Italy, Adjunct Professor in the Department of Religious Studies at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Faculty Member of the International PhD Network established in 2008 by the University of Giessen, Germany, holder of a Fellowship at the Folger Shakespeare Library in Washington (1999, 2008), one of the three General Editors of EJES (European Journal of English Studies) (2004-2010).

3. Olga Aleksandrova – Doctor of Sciences (Philology), Professor, Head of the Department of English Linguistics at Lomonosov Moscow State University, holder of Lomonosov Award (2001), Award of the International Federation of Modern Language Teachers' Associations at FIPLV (2005).

4. **John Stotesbury** - Adjunct Professor of the Department of English University of Oulu, Finland, Adjunct Professor of Philosophical Faculty, School of Humanities, Finland.
5. **Elżbieta Chrzanowska-Kluczevska** – Professor, Dr. hab. Uniwersytet Jagiellonski, Institute Filologii Angielskiej, Katedra Jezykoznawstwa Angielskiego. Cracow, Poland.
6. **Elżbieta Manczak-Wohlfeld** – Professor, Dr. hab. Uniwersytet Jagiellonski, Institute Filologii Angielskiej, Katedra Jezykoznawstwa Angielskiego. Cracow, Poland.
7. **Alessandra Giorgi** – PhD in Philology, Full Professor, Department of Linguistics and Comparative Cultural Studies, Ca’Foscari University of Venice, Italy.
8. **Buniatova Isabella** – Doctor of Philology, Professor, Head of the Department of Germanic and Romance Philology, Boris Grinchenko Kyiv University, Ukraine.
9. **Ірина Шевченко** - Doctor of Philology, Full Professor, V. N. Karazin Kharkov National University, Head of the Department of Business Foreign Language and Translation, Academician of Academy of Sciences of the High School of Ukraine, Editor-in-Chief of The International Journal “Cognition, Communication, Discourse”.
10. **Ewa Salkiewicz-Munnerlyn** – Professor, Doctor of Cracow Academy after Andrej Frycz Modrzewski, Cracow, Poland.
11. **Marta Dabrowska** – Associate Professor, Doctor hab. , Institute of English Studies, Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland.
12. **Peter Sutton** – Freelance Editor and Translator, UK.
13. **Sona Haroutyunian** – Doctor of Linguistics, Professor at the Department of Asian and African Studies, Visiting Professor at University of California Los Angeles (2009), Nida School of Translation Studies, New York - Misano Adriatico (2012), California State University Fresno (2013), Yerevan State University (2015), City University of New York (2017).

Managing Editor

Lili Karapetyan – Associate Professor of English Philology Department, Yerevan State University (Armenia)

Assistant Editor

Gohar Madoyan – PhD in Philology, Associate Professor of English Philology Department, Yerevan State University (Armenia)

Երևանի պետական համալսարան

**Անգլերենի ուսումնասիրության հայկական
ասոցիացիա (Անգլերենի ուսումնասիրության
եվրոպական ֆեդերացիայի անդամ)**

**ԱՆԳԼԻԱԳԻՏԱԿԱՆ
ՀԵՏԱԶՈՏՈՒԹՅՈՒՆՆԵՐԻ
ՀԱՅԿԱԿԱՆ ՀԱՆԴԵՍ**

**Միջազգային գրախոսվող ամսագիր
համագործակցությամբ՝**

Երևանի Վալերի Բրյուսովի անվան պետական
լեզվահասարակագիտական համալսարանի
(Հայաստան)

Մոսկվայի Մ. Լոմոնոսովի անվ. պետական համալսարանի
(Ռուսաստան)

Կրակովի Յագիելոնյան համալսարանի
(Լեհաստան)

Մոնտենեգրոյի համալսարանի

ԵՐԵՎԱՆ – 2019



100



Yerevan State University

**Armenian Association for the Study
of English (Member Association of the
European Society for the Study of English)**

ARMENIAN FOLIA ANGLISTIKA

**Reviewed International Journal
in cooperation with:**

Yerevan Brusov State University of Languages
and Social Sciences
(Armenia)

Lomonosov Moscow State University
(Russia)

Jagiellonian University, Cracow
(Poland)

University of Montenegro
(Montenegro)

YEREVAN – 2019

CONTENTS

Linguistics

Seda Gasparyan

A Methodological Mechanism for Applying the Hermeneutical Approach9

Mariam Askarian, Hovhannes Vanesyan

Sports Metaphors in American Political Discourse30

Kristine Harutyunyan, Anna Sargsyan

The Sociolinguistic Perspective of Hedging in English44

Hovhannes Vanesyan

Politeness and Its Perception by Armenian Learners of English:

From Theory to Action53

Methodology

Tatyana V. Sidorenko, Margaret Apresyan

CLIL as a New Innovative Pedagogy: the case of Russia and Armenia63

Veronik Khachatryan, Armenuhi Ghalachyan

Meeting the Goals and Challenges of Adult EFL Learners88

Syuzanna Tadevosyan

Inclusive Education in Armenia103

Culture

Evgeniia Zimina, Mariana Sargsyan

Politics, Poetry, People: an Overview of Contemporary Poetry Trends

in the British Literary Landscape113

Narine Harutyunyan

On Some Forms of “Out-Group” Intolerance and “Unlimited”
Tolerance in Linguoculture 130

Marine Yaghubyan

The Use of Positive and Negative Politeness Strategies to Express
Request in English and Armenian Cultures..... 141

Mara Baghdasaryan

Fate across Cultures: a Linguocognitive Approach..... 151

Literature

Angela Locatelli

Spatial Mobility as Social Mobility in the Early Seventeenth Century:
Henry Peacham Jr.’s Picaresque Novel
A Merry Discourse of Meum and Tuum 166

Vicky Tchapanian

Morality vs Immorality in the Miserable Life of
Daniel Defoe's Moll Flanders..... 182

Armenological Studies

Seda Gasparyan, Luiza Gasparyan

On Translational “Lacunae” in the English Translation of
The History of Armenia by Movses Khorenatsi 191

**To the Centenary
of Yerevan State University**

Fate across Cultures: a Linguocognitive Approach

Mara Baghdasaryan

Yerevan State University

Abstract

Concepts may have different conceptual-semantic coverage and lexical realization in different cultures and languages. In the present paper a cognitive framework has been elaborated to look into the concept *fate* across different linguocultures – Armenian, Russian, English and Italian, and to reveal its culture bound characteristics along with its universal essence, that is reveal *fate* in linguocultural thinking.

Key words: *concept fate, linguoculture, linguocultural thinking, cognitive frame, conceptualization, lexical and semantic realization, phraseological unit.*

Introduction

Expressions, sentences and even whole texts can often be incomprehensible even in case of the knowledge of words and grammar forms. Such a situation occurs because of the lack of cultural knowledge. There are concepts that represent “whole worlds” which need to be revealed. Different nations, naturally, have a different history, language, way of communication, lifestyle, perception of the world – in a word, a different culture.

Language encompasses and transfers huge cultural information – what national characteristics the nation has, in what geographical and social conditions it lives, what traditional food it eats, what developed fields of activity it has, what view and perception of the world it demonstrates, what it says to itself and the world, and how it says it.

Language with all its means is understood in the context of communication, and context finds its realization or specification in culture. In other words, language is a reflection of concepts and meanings which differ

from culture to culture. In specific languages the cultural semantics of concepts is a powerful condensation of traditional practice and values. This is the reason why certain words or names of phenomena have different moral, intellectual, conversational and practical meanings in different languages, and their lexical counterparts are often not identical in other languages.

***Fate* in the Armenian and Other Linguocultures:**

Definitions and Lexical Realizations

The concept to be presented in this paper is *fate* (*բախտ*). What is the concept *fate*? In what way do we use *it*? And what are our expectations from *it*? These, actually, are not very easy questions to answer. Surely, *fate* is a universal concept probably existing in all languages as it is inherent to human thinking and life. But this does not mean that our ideas and expectations related to *fate* are identical. Here is where culture comes to have a key role, and the linguistic expression – its variety and shades of meaning are representative of culture. The idea of fate is traditionally and firmly entrenched in an Armenian's worldview. It is so usual for Armenians that often in speech without any specific contemplation, as if automatically, they may say, for example, *բախտի բան է* (it's a matter of fortune¹), *մարդ բախտ պիտի ունենա* (one should have a fortune), *բախտս բերեց / չբերեց* (I was / wasn't lucky). It is also customary to express good wishes to young people, in particular words wishing a happy marriage like *թող բախտդ բացվի* (let your fate open up), *բարի բախտ ունենա* (have a good fate) are said to unmarried young ladies. Another good wish to young ladies is the phrase handed down to us from our grandmothers – *լվացքի տաշտի պես բախտ ունենա*² (have a fate like the one of a washtub), meaning good fortune, luck and abundance, for as we know, the washtub hardly ever gets empty. Marriage and family happiness have always been crucial in the Armenian mentality and lifestyle and, naturally, have found their way into language. Hence the concept *fate* could not have circumvented such a key field of the Armenian culture as marriage and family. This will be discussed in more detail and in comparison to other linguocultures further in the paper.

In our reference to the concept *fate* we would like to focus on phraseological units as these language realizations are usually typical cultural condensations and provide a wide spectrum of the meaning of a concept and its functioning in a specific culture. The phraseological units with the concept *fate* are mainly represented by the word *բախտ* and in a few cases by the word *ճակատագիր* in Armenian. In Russian its lexical realizations are *судьба* and *рок*, the latter having solely a negative meaning. In English the most semantically comprehensive word representing this concept is *fate*. Though it should be mentioned that in English and Italian the conceptual-semantic realization of this concept is more disperse and the latter is usually verbalized in phraseological units through the lexical units *fate, fortune, luck, destiny* in English and *fortuna, fato, destino, sorte* in Italian. Anyway, *fate, fortune* in English and *fato, fortuna* in Italian are more generally representative of the concept *fate*.

Before starting the examination of the phraseological units with the concept *fate* we would like to present the definitions given by dictionaries to its main or comparably more comprehensive lexical expressions in the four languages under discussion (the presentation of the specifically professional or technical realizations of the concept are omitted here as non-relevant to this research).

Բախտ – 1. կյանքի հանգամանքներով պայմանավորված վիճակ, 2. ճակատագիր, 3. հաջողություն, բարեպատեհ բերում, հանգամանք, դիպված, 4. սնոտիապաշտական պատկերացումներով այն գերազույն ուժը կամ աստվածային կամքը, որով տեղի է ունենում ամեն բան կյանքում, 5. /ժղ./ գերբնական անձնավորված էակ, որ տնօրինում է մարդկանց կյանքը (Աղայան 1976):

Судьба – стечение обстоятельств, не зависящих от воли человека, ход жизненных событий, 2. доля,

участь, 3. история существования кого-, чего-нибудь (кн.), 4. будущее, то, что случится, произойдет (Ожегов 1988).

Fate – 1. the development of events outside a person's control, regarded as predetermined by a supernatural power; the course of someone's life, or the outcome of a situation for someone or something, seen as outside their control; the inescapable death of a person (Oxford Dictionary 2010).

Fortune – 1. chance or luck as an arbitrary force affecting human affairs; luck, especially good luck; (fortunes) the success or failure of a person or enterprise over a period of time, 2. a large amount of money or assets; (a fortune) a surprisingly high price or amount of money (Oxford Dictionary 2010).

Fortuna – 1. destino o sorte alterna, indipendente dalla volontà umana, identificata dagli antichi nell'omonima divinità (la dea bendata) distributrice a caso di gioia e dolori, 2. sorte favorevole, destino propizio, 3. averi, patrimonio, ricchezza; /lett./ condizione economica o sociale, 4. /lett./ sorte o destino avverso, vicenda disgraziata, 5. rompicollo (Zingarelli 2014).

Fato – 1. per gli antichi, legge eterna e ineluttabile che regola e domina senza contrasto la vita dell'Universo, 2. destino, caso, fatalità (Zingarelli 2014).

As is evident from the dictionary data the given concept largely means chance as an arbitrary force affecting human affairs, success, development of events outside a person's control and regarded as predetermined or regulated by a supernatural power, as an outcome of a situation, the course of one's life, as well as economic welfare.

The Phraseology of *Fate* through Cognitive Modelling

With reference to phraseological units it should be stated that even at a very first sight it is obvious that the Armenian phraseological units with the concept *fate* are incomparably more numerous, and the Armenian word-concept *բախտ* is more comprehensive. In phraseological dictionaries

(Մերիապյան, Գալստյան 1975, Войнова, Жуков, Молотков, Федоров 1986, Oxford Dictionary of Idioms 2004, Zingarelli 2014) there are more than 100 Armenian, around 5 Russian, 15 English and 35 Italian phraseological units with this concept. According to the language data provided by the dictionaries a set of frames³/mental models, i.e. a cognitive framework of the concept *fate* has been elaborated in the course of the present research aimed at revealing linguocultural thinking. Thus *fate* is presented through the following cognitive frames and subframes.

1. fate – success/chance
 - 1.1. personified success/chance
 - 1.2. success in socio-economic perspective
2. fate – marital happiness/success
 - 3.1. fate – destiny - future
 - 3.1. future/end
 - 3.2. fate – destiny-life
4. incident, event (negative)
 - 4.1. fateful incident
 - 4.2. haphazard event
5. fate – money (usually in a large amount)

Our examination of the phraseological units with the concept *fate* has shown that in the Armenian culture there is a strong disposition to fate or in other words it is fate-centered (բախտակենտրոն) to a considerable extent. Success is considered crucial in all the domains of human activity and the idea of success finds its linguocultural realization in a variety of contexts. A very typical characteristic of success is chance, and not only in the Armenian linguoculture as the linguistic evidence shows. So the first cognitive frame is *fate – success/chance*. The idea of chance and unexpectedness is present in many phrases and it can often be positive as well as negative resulting in the

attainment or loss of success or else having good or bad luck: բախտը բերել = բախտը բանել = բախտը կտրել = բախտը բռնել /խսկց./ = բախտի դռները/դուռը բացվել = your luck is in ≠ your luck is out; բախտը աջ /լինել/; բախտը բացվել; բախտը գտնել; բախտը կապվել = բախտը կտրվել = բախտը թեքվել = բախտը ծովել = բախտի դռները/դուռը փակվել = բախտը քարին ու կապին դեմ ընկնել = բախտը ծովն ընկնել = բախտը ջուրն ընկնել; բախտը ջլկահորն ընկած /dialectal/; բախտին / բախտի գլխին քար գցել /քարով տալ/, քացի/քացով տալ իր/մեկի բախտին = բախտի առաջ քար գցել /dialectal/; բախտի թղթախաղ = բախտի խաղ = игра судьбы; բախտին թողնել = [оставить] на произвол судьбы = abbandonare qlcu. al proprio destino = abbandonare qlcu. alla sua sorte; բախտին տալ /իրեն/ = affidarsi alla fortuna = affidarsi/rimettersi alla sorte; բախտի բան է /խսկց./ = è solo questione di fortuna; բախտի բերմամբ/բերմունքով = as luck would have it = è destino /che/; բախտը փորձել = try your luck /at sth/; բախտ ունենալ = avere sorte; բախտ ունենալ = բախտ վիճակվել = aver fortuna ≠ non aver fortuna; բախտից փախչել; какими судьбами?; the luck of the draw; make your own luck; ride your luck; ha tutte le fortune; avere/toccare in sorte; un colpo di fortuna, portar fortuna, fare la fortuna di qlcu., mezzi di fortuna.

The phrases with the concept *fate* can have unique lexical and semantic realizations such as in the Armenian linguoculture բախտը ընկնում, բախտի դուռն ընկնել /մեկի/ which mean readiness to contribute to the interlocuter's success in any way asking for help in a difficult or desperate situation. Another unique linguistic expression is Armenian բախտը սիրեմ which is an exclamation of admiration with reference to a person who is always lucky. In the Armenian phrases it is usual to come across the word *բախտ* with the possessive ending or a possessive pronoun like բախտս /իմ բախտը, բախտդ /քո բախտը, բախտը / նրա բախտը as in, for example, «Բախտս բերեց» (“I was lucky enough”). Among the Armenian phrases there are also derivatives and compounds from բախտ as բախտախաղի դնել, բախտավոր աստղի տակ ծնվել, բախտավոր մեր գլուխը.

It is also noteworthy to observe how *ճակատագիր* – another realization of the concept *fate* in Armenian – is expressed in phraseological contexts. The

compound word *ճակատագիր*, i.e. a writing on the forehead – a person's destiny predetermined for him, is split into its components *ճակատ* (forehead) and *գիր* (writing) and is used to make phraseological units with syntactic flexibility: *ճակատին գրված /լինել/, ճակատին սև գիր գրել. Ճակատագիր*, as is clear, indicates a necessarily predetermined course of events.

An interesting lexical-syntactic unit is the typically Russian phrase *какими судьбами?* which is actually a question having a specific contextual functioning. In English there is an interesting phraseological unit with the word *lucky* derived from *luck* – you, he, etc. will be lucky (or should be so lucky) – which is a predicative syntactic unit, actually a sentence and is “used to say that someone's wishes or expectations are unlikely to be fulfilled” [Siefring 2004]. It is an ironic phrase expressing just the contrary – the absence or the impossibility of the luck. A similar case comes across among the Armenian phrases – *բախտավոր մեր գլուխը* in which *բախտավոր* is, too, derivative from *բախտ*, and is synonymous to *lucky*. The phrase literally means *lucky (is) our head* but the phraseological meaning is the contrary – we are not lucky (enough for). It is a colloquial phrase which is notably emotionally saturated.

A remarkable fact about *fate* as success/chance is that it is often personified. So we distinguish a subframe *fate – personified success/chance*. The concept of *fate* as success/chance is endowed with characteristics typical of a human being. Often in the Armenian linguoculture these phrases even exist in antonymic pairs indicating that *fate*, like a human being, can possess characteristics of the opposite directions. Thus, in Armenian:

բախտը գալ ≠ *բախտը դառնալ*,
բախտը ետ գալ,
բախտը ժպտալ = *բախտը ծիծաղել* = *բախտն երեսին ծիծաղել* ≠
բախտը երես դարձնել/ երես թեթել/ երեսը/ շուռ տալ,
բախտը դավել / դավաճանել,
բախտը հաշտ աչքով նայել /մեկի վրա/ ≠ *բախտը խեթ նայել/ խռովել /մեկից/*,
բախտը քնել,
բախտը քռացնել,

բախտի քահաճույքին թողնել,
բախտի քահաճույքին լինել/մնալ;

in Russian

волею судьбы,
ирония судьбы,
искушать судьбу;

in English

tempt fate,
fortune favours the brave;

in Italian

tentare la fortuna = tentare la sorte,
ai capricci della fortuna,
figlio della fortuna,
ha una fortuna sfacciata,
rassegnarsi al destino = subire al destino,
essere perseguitato dal destino,
essere in balia della sorte,
opporsi al fato.

It is evident that such actions as come (գալ), go back (դառնալ), come back (ետ գալ), smile (Ժպտալ), laugh (ծիծաղել, երեսին ծիծաղել), avert one's face (երես դարձնել) / turn aside (away) (երես թեքել) / turn one's back (երես/ը/շուր տալ), betray (դավել/դավաճանել), be in peace with (literally – to look (at sb.) with a peaceful eye (հաշտ աչքով նայել /մեկի վրա/), look askance (խեթ նայել) / resent (խոնվել), sleep (քնել), blind (քոռացնել), tempt (искушать, tentare), favour, submit (rassegnarsi, subire), be pursued (essere perseguitato), be under sb's control (essere in balia di qlcu.), oppose (opporsi) indicate relations between humans, as well as such characteristics as whim/caprice (քահաճույք, capriccio), will (воля), irony (ирония), impudence (sfacciato – impudent, shameless) and figlio (child) normally pertain

to humans. The language material shows that the personification takes particularly various lexical forms and finds a whole range of semantic, contextual realizations in the Armenian and Italian linguocultures.

The other subframe distinguished within the frame *fate – success/chance* presents *fate* as *success in socio-economic perspective*. This type of success is observed separately as there are several phraseological units which specifically denote it, e.g. բախտ որոնել = բախտ փնտրել, բախտ ուզել/ուզենալ (partially), as distinct from the bulk of the phraseological units which denote success/chance in general. But it should be noted that the specific meaning of socio-economic well-being is presented in the Armenian dictionary by this small number of phrases only, which can be representative of culture, indicating that success in the social and economic domain does not have a central role in the understanding of fate being success in the Armenian culture.

The situation is completely different with another realization of *fate* as *marital happiness-success*. The latter has an important part within the conceptual field of *fate* in the Armenian culture and specifically with reference to ladies as further will be demonstrated on examples:

բախտին նստել /մեկի/ – to be /act as/ an obstacle to one's marriage,

բախտ խնդրել – to ask for love, welfare,

բախտեբախտ ընկնել (dialectal – about a widow or a widower) – to get married several times (literally – to fall from fate to fate),

բախտը բացվել – (2nd meaning) a lady has married (literally – fate opens up) ≠

բախտի դռները/ դուռը փակվել – (2nd meaning) not to manage to marry for a long time (mainly about ladies) (literally – the doors of fate close),

բախտի դուռը բանալ – to marry a lady off (literally – to open the door of fate) ≠

բախտը քոռացնել (colloquial) – to make unfortunate, wreck the future (generally about a lady who is not getting married) (literally – to blind the fate),

բախտը կապ է – no one proposes to a lady (literally – the fate is tied up),

բախտը կապել (colloquial, superstitious) – (2nd meaning) to act as an obstacle to a lady's marriage (literally – to tie up the fate),

բախտը ուրիշ տեղ փնտրել/ փնտրիր (colloquial) – to propose to a lady from another place; also in the form of an order used as a reply to the matchmaker (literally – to look (look!) for the fate in another place).

In the dictionary definitions of the first three phraseological units there is no special reference to ladies but the statements exemplifying the first two refer to ladies. The third one is exemplified by statements referring to both men and women. It is notable that it has an intensified semantics of the idea of fate. This is due to the double use of *բախտ* (*fate*) within the word *բախտեբախտ*, making the phrase emotionally more coloured and intensive which is quite usual with dialectal and colloquial phraseological units. The next six phrases are even defined with immediate reference to ladies. Language as the reflection of the culture clearly shows the relationship between marriage and fate, or more specifically the role of fate in marital happiness/success, family being the generally accepted form of personal happiness in the Armenian world. Particularly ladies' marital happiness / success has traditionally been fate bound and they are usually wished *բարի բախտ* (*good fate*) in the patriarchal Armenian society where a man is expected to propose to a lady and take her to his home after marriage. This is the reason why there is such a phraseological unit in the Armenian linguoculture as *տանը մնալ* (literally – to stay/remain at home) which means the lady is unmarried or her success in marital happiness has not opened up to her (*բախտը բացվել* – fate opens up). It is also remarkable that some Armenian phrases such as *բախտը ծովն ընկնել*, *բախտը կապվել*, *բախտը կտրվել* are generally defined as to suffer a misfortune but the illustrations, which are predominantly from fiction, all make a reference to the lady's marital issue. The final phraseological unit on this list, *բախտը ուրիշ տեղ փնտրել/ փնտրիր*, pertains to men specifically and can be a refusal (also in the form of an imperative statement) to a man proposing to a lady. So, this comes to assert that in the traditional Armenian society a man makes a proposal to a lady which in its turn, still, needs to be accepted by her and/or her parents.

The third major frame elaborated within the present research can be presented as follows: *fate – destiny-future* and *fate – destiny-life* in which the

concept *fate* is realized as human destiny actualized in the idea of the future and in the idea of life, respectively. These are two parallel conceptualizations of *fate* none of them being a subframe of the other. Many of the phrases belonging to the first group are connected to the superstitious idea of foreseeing the future, e.g. բախտ գուշակող, բախտը աշէլ = բախտը բանալ/բաց անէլ = բախտը գցել = բախտը նայել = *predire/leggere il destino a qlcu.* Others express the idea of the future destiny in different contextual-phraseological realizations: բախտը կապել /մեկի/մի բանի հետ/, բախտը նժարների վրա լինել, բախտը ոտքին հանձնել/մեկի/, բախտը շինել (colloquial), so *quale sarà la mia sorte.* Among the English phraseological units there is also a phrase seal someone's fate which means "make it inevitable that something unpleasant will happen to someone" (Oxford Dictionary of Idioms 2004). Here, too, there is a direct reference to *fate as destiny-future*. This phrase has some similarity to the Armenian phrase բախտը կապել (literally – to tie up the fate) which is colloquial and has the superstitious meaning of bringing bad luck by spell.

It is interesting that there is a subtle variation to the realization of *fate as destiny-future*. For example the phrase բախտը վճռել /մեկի/մի բանի/ has two meanings: the first one is to take a crucial decision on an important question and is synonymous to *decidere della sorte di qlcu.*, and the second one is to have a decisive influence on the result of something. So in the latter case the frame is a little modified to *fate – destiny - future/end.*

The realization of *fate as destiny-life* can be exemplified through such phrases as բախտի արժանանալ, բախտը գոյալ ջրի հետ կապել, բախտի տերը դառնալ /իր/, բախտից գանգատվել = *prenderse la col destino*, բախտից երես առած, բախտն անիծել, ճակատին գրվ/վ/ած /լինել/, *seguire il proprio destino*, *cedere al fato* and also a group of phrases with the metaphoric expression *բախտի անիվ (the wheel of fate)* usually with reference to the different directions life takes: բախտի անիվ = *la ruota della fortuna*, բախտի անիվը աջ դառնալ, բախտի անիվը թեքվել/ծովել/ձախ դառնալ, բախտի անիվը շրջվել/շուռ գալ/դառնալ, բախտի անիվը պտտվել, բախտի անիվը փշուր-փշուր լինել.

A special focus should be given to the phrases բախս ունենալ = aver fortuna meaning to be successful and բախս ունենալ = avere sorte meaning to have a good life. The phrase բախս ունենալ is very popular in the Armenian linguoculture and can obviously be referred to both frames *fate – success/chance* and *fate – destiny-life*.

There are also two minor frames presented on our list to show how else the concept *fate* works. In the English linguoculture one of them conceptualizes *fate* as a negative incident, event – either a fateful incident or an unpredictable, haphazard event: a fate worse than death (a terrible experience, especially that of seduction or rape), the fortunes of war (the unpredictable events of war).

The other conceptualization of *fate* is *the idea of money, usually in a large amount*: in English the informal phrase a small fortune expresses the idea of a large amount of money in a playful manner, or the phrase a soldier of fortune is used to denote a person ready to take a service under any person or state in return for money, in Italian the phrase fare fortuna means to become rich like in English the phrase make a fortune, also in Italian the phrases cadere in bassa fortuna and trovarsi in bassa fortuna are literary expressions meaning go bankrupt/be bankrupt in which *fortuna*, i.e. *fate* actualizes the idea of economic, financial welfare. This kind of specific realization of the concept under discussion is not found in the Armenian or Russian linguocultures. It is typical of the English and Italian linguocultures. The English word *fortune* and the Italian word *fortuna* on their own have the meaning of wealth, capital among other meanings. Whereas with general reference to socio-economic welfare, as already stated in this paper, in Armenian we only come across the phrases բախս որոնել = բախս փնտրել (literally – to look for fate), բախս ուզել/ուզենալ (literally – to wish fate), in the first two ones *բախս* expressing the idea of means of living, in the third one also happiness in general.

Finally, we would like to focus on a specific conceptual condensation – the phrase հայի բախս (the Armenian's fate) used in spoken Armenian. It means bad luck, unhappiness. This phrase has become widely circulated in the recent century and is largely connected with the Armenian Genocide of 1915. But there is a remarkable fact – this phrase has not found its way into dictionaries,

that is it has not “found its place” in standard Armenian. This means that notwithstanding the many atrocities, namely the genocide the Armenian people went through and survived, this nation, though preserving the memory on the genetic level, does not believe they are a nation with a victim’s soul and mind, but does believe they are a nation with a survivor’s soul and mind looking to the future. So the Armenian nation has preserved this phrase on the level of Saussurean *parole* and does not adopt it into their language on the level of Saussurean *langue*.

Conclusion

Fate is a vital concept in human thinking and active in all the languages we have looked into in the framework of this research. *Fate* is a universal concept with universal essence as man is not endowed to reach the essence of all existence. *Fate* is something we are born with, live with, rely on, look for, discover and create. Despite its universal characteristics the concept *fate* also has unique or more typical characteristics conditioned by different culture bound factors and deeply rooted in national linguocultures.

As the present research has shown the concept *fate* is most widely referred to in the Armenian linguocultur, and the reference fields are success/chance including personified success/chance, marital happiness/success, destiny-future and destiny-life (the vast number of phraseological units often with a variety of synonyms and antonyms provide us with a profound evidence). This concept is also considerably active in the above mentioned fields excluding the one of marital happiness/success in the Italian linguoculture.

The role of *fate* is unique in marital happiness in the Armenian culture as distinct from all the other cultures, at least the ones under discussion. And specifically ladies are found to be in the realm of *fate*.

Another typical realization of the concept *fate/fortune* is the idea of economic, financial welfare, but in this case typical of the English and Italian linguocultures as distinct from the Armenian and Russian ones. The analyzed linguistic data can also lead to the idea that this kind of actualization of *fate* is typical of European cultures in general.

Surely, the topic of the present research is far wider and can give much more food for thought but the cognitive research of the phraseological units can already provide a deep insight into the linguocultures and linguocultural thinking.

Notes:

1. The English translations of the Armenian phraseological units in this paper have been done by the author (M. B.).
2. It is remarkable that in Armenian there are phrases with the concept *fate* (*բախտ*) such as բարի բախտ /ունենալ/, լվացքի տաշտի պէս բախտ ունենալ which are widely used in the spoken language but are not recorded in dictionaries and are actually used by force of tradition.
3. A frame can be defined as a structure of knowledge or a mechanism of knowledge building: in the broad sense, a frame is a cognitive model. A frame is a unified structure of knowledge, a coherent schematization of experience. It is a structure of data which exists in a person's consciousness and which a person uses to recognize and process typical situations and phenomena. It represents the essential, typical and possible features of the concept, which can be conditioned to this or that extent, that is they have a situational basis. Frames shape a person's perception of the world and direct their behaviour (Cf. Kubryakova, Dem'yankow, Pankrats, Luzina 1997, Minskij 1979, Fillmore 1988).

References:

1. Aghayan, E. (1976) *Ardi hayereni batsatrakan bararan*. Yerevan: "Hayastan" hratarakchutyun.
2. Sukiasyan, A.; Galstyan, S. (1975) *Hayots lezvi dardzvatzabanakan bararan*. Yerevan: Yerevani hamalsarani hratarakchutyun.
3. Voynova, L.; Zhukov, V.; Molotkov, A.; Fyodorov, A. (1986) *Frazeologicheskiy slovar' russkogo yazika*. / Pod red. A. Molotkova, Izd. 4-oye. M.: Russkiy yazik.

4. Kubryakova, Ye.; Demyankov, V.; Pankrats, Yu.; Luzina, L. (1997) *Kratkiy slovar' kognitivnikh terminov*. M.: MGU.
5. Minskiy, M. (1979) *Freyimi dlya predstavleniya znaniy*. M.: Energiya.
6. Ozhegov, S. (1988) *Slovar' russkogo yazika*. 20-oye izd. M.: Russkiy yazik.
7. Fillmore, Ch. (1988) *Freyimi i semantika ponimaniya*. // Novoye v zarubezhnoy lingvistike. Kognitivniye aspekti yazika. Vip. XXIII. M.: Progress.
8. (2010) *Oxford Dictionary (for ABBYY Lingvo x5)*. 3rd ed.. Oxford: OUP.
9. (2004) *Oxford Dictionary of Idioms*. / Ed. by J. Siefring. 2nd ed. Oxford, New York: OUP.
10. Zingarelli, N. (2014) *Vocabolario della Lingua Italiana*. Milano: Zanichelli.

***Քախտը տարբեր մշակույթներում.
լեզվաճանաչողական մոտեցում***

Հասկացույթները կարող են որոշակիորեն զանազան հասկացական-իմաստային դաշտեր ընդգրկել ու բառային իրացում ունենալ տարբեր մշակույթներում ու լեզուներում: Այս հետազոտության մեջ մշակվել են ճանաչողական մոդելներ, որոնց միջոցով փորձ է արվում ուսումնասիրել *քախտ* հասկացույթը տարբեր լեզվամշակույթներում և քննել դրա թե՛ համընդհանուր էությունը, թե՛ մշակույթով պայմանավորված առանձնահատկությունները, այսինքն՝ վեր հանել *քախտը* լեզվամշակութային մտածողության մեջ:

Received by the Editorial Board 01.03.2019

Recommended for publication by the reviewers 03.04.2019

Accepted for print 22.04.2019

Our Authors

Angela Locatelli – Professor (Full and Tenured) of English Literature, and Director of the PhD Program in “Euro-American Literatures”, University of Bergamo, Italy.

E-mail: angela.locatelli@unibg.it

Anna Sargsyan – MA in Linguistics, English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.

E-mail: kristineharutyunyan@ysu.am

Armenuhi Ghalachyan – PhD in Philology, Senior Professor, Chair of Theory of Language and Cross-Cultural Communication, Russian-Armenian University.

E-mail: armiine@mail.ru

Evgeniia Zimina – PhD in Philology, Associate Professor, Department of Romance and Germanic Languages, Kostroma State University.

E-mail: ezimina@rambler.ru

Hovhannes Vanesyan – PhD student at English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.

E-mail: hovhanesyan@yandex.ru

Kristine Harutyunyan – PhD in Philology, Associate Professor, English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.

E-mail: kristineharutyunyan@ysu.am

Luiza Gasparyan - PhD in Philology, Institute of Literature, NAS RA.

E-mail: luizagasparyan@rambler.ru

Mara Baghdasaryan – PhD in Philology, Associate Professor at the Chair of English Language 2, Yerevan State University.

E-mail: marabaghdasaryan@ysu.am

Margaret Apresyan – PhD in Linguistics, Professor, Head of the Chair for ESP, Yerevan State University.

E-mail: english@ysu.am

Mariam Askarian – MA in Linguistics, English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.

E-mail: mariamaskaryan@gmail.com

Mariana Sargsyan – PhD in Philology, Associate Professor, English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.

E-mail: marianasargsyan@ysu.am

Marine Yaghubyan – PhD in Philology, Associate Professor at the Department of English for Cross-Cultural Communication, Yerevan State University.

E-mail: marina.yaghubyan@ysu.am

Narine Harutyunyan – Doctor of Sciences (Philology), Professor at the Department of English for Cross-Cultural Communication, Yerevan State University.

Email: narineharutyunyan@ysu.am

Seda Gasparyan – Corresponding Member of RA National Academy of Sciences, Honoured Scientist of RA. Doctor of Sciences (Philology), Professor, Head of English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.

E-mail: sedagasparyan@yandex.ru, sedagasparyan@ysu.am

Syuzanna Tadevosyan – PhD in Philology, Senior Professor, Chair of Theory of Language and Cross-Cultural Communication, Russian-Armenian University.

E-mail: syuzantadevosyan@mail.ru

Tatyana Sidorenko – PhD in Pedagogy, Assistant Professor, School of Core Engineering Education, Tomsk Polytechnic University.

E-mail: SidorenkoT@tpu.ru

Veronik Khachaturyan – PhD in Philology, Assistant Professor at the Chair of English Language 2, Yerevan State University.

E-mail: veronikkhachaturyan@ysu.am

Vicky Tchapanian – PhD in Philology, Lecturer at the Department of Business and Economics, Lebanese University.

E-mail: vicky.tchapanian@hotmail.com

Author Guidelines

Manuscript Submission

Manuscripts should be submitted by one of the authors of the manuscript through the online manuscript management system. Only electronic Word (.doc, .docx) files can be submitted. Only online submissions are advised strongly to facilitate rapid publication and to minimize administrative costs. Submissions by anyone other than one of the authors will not be accepted. The submitting author takes responsibility for the paper during submission and peer review. If for some technical reason submission through the online Manuscript Management System is not possible, the author can send manuscript as email attachment. Email submission: afajournal@ysu.am

Editorial Policy

Armenian Folia Anglistika is concerned with such fields as Linguistics, Literary Criticism, Translation Studies, Methodology, Ethnic Studies, Cultural History, Gender Studies, Armenian Studies and a wide range of adjacent disciplines. The articles address a wide range of interesting questions and are of consistently high quality. The reviewing is timely, knowledgeable and objective. The book reviews are very balanced and informative. The language of submission and publication is English.

Editorial Process

This journal follows strict double blind fold review policy to ensure neutral evaluation. All manuscripts are subject to peer review and are expected to meet standards of academic excellence. High quality manuscripts are peer-reviewed by minimum two peers of the same field. The reviewers submit their reports on the manuscripts along with their recommendation of one of the following actions to the Editor-in-Chief:

Recommendation regarding the paper:

1. I recommend the paper for publication
2. I recommend the paper for publication after major/minor corrections
3. I do not recommend the paper for publication

The Editor-in-Chief makes a **decision** accordingly:

1. to publish the paper
2. to consider the paper for publication after major/minor corrections

In these cases the authors are notified to prepare and submit a final copy of their manuscript with the required major/minor changes in a timely manner. The Editor-in-

Chief reviews the revised manuscript after the changes have been made by the authors. Once the Editor-in-Chief is satisfied with the final manuscript, the manuscript can be accepted. The Editor-in-Chief can also reject the manuscript if the paper still doesn't meet the requirements.

3. to reject the paper

The editorial workflow gives the Editor-in-Chief the authority to reject any manuscript because of inappropriateness of its subject, lack of quality, incorrectness, or irrelevance. The Editor-in-Chief cannot assign himself/herself as an external reviewer of the manuscript. This is to ensure a high-quality, fair, and unbiased peer-review process of every manuscript submitted to the journal, since any manuscript must be recommended by one or more (usually two) external reviewers along with the Editor in charge of the manuscript in order to accept it for publication in the journal.

Ethical Issues:

Authors cannot submit the manuscript for publication to other journals simultaneously. The authors should submit original, new and unpublished research work to the journal. The ethical issues such as plagiarism, fraudulent and duplicate publication, violation of copyrights, authorship and conflict of interests are serious issues concerning ethical integrity when submitting a manuscript to a journal for publication.

Withdrawal of Manuscripts:

The author can request withdrawal of manuscript after submission within the time span when the manuscript is still in the peer-reviewing process. After the manuscript is accepted for publication, the withdrawal is not permitted.